



Fact Sheet

Endangers Nevada's Efforts to Diversify Our Economy

Jobs in manufacturing, agriculture, and tourism all would be impacted if Question 6 passes and energy costs rise. Due to the same policy Question 6 would impose on Nevada, California has lost 40 percent of its industrial base since 2000.

Funded by Out of State Hedge Fund Billionaire

Question 6 is funded by politically-ambitious California billionaire, Tom Steyer. His political arm is the *sole donor* to the Yes on 6 campaign, according to Ballotpedia.

Harms Low Income Consumers

Question 6 is essentially a regressive tax on energy that will drive up costs, drive away good jobs, and harm low income consumers the most.

Unnecessary

Nevada already has one of the most diverse renewable energy portfolios in the nation, including 19 geothermal projects, 14 solar projects and about a dozen wind, biomass, hydro and waste heat renewable energy projects, with additional renewable energy products in the planning process, according to Nevada Energy.

Amends the State Constitution

Because the measure amends the State Constitution, if we pass it and then discover later that it is impractical to implement or too costly to our economy, the only way we could reverse Question 6 would be with another Constitutional Amendment.

Failed California Policy

Question 6 imitates a costly California policy already in effect, with disastrous results. California's residential utility rates are 57 percent higher than Nevada's and industrial rates are more than double, according to statistics from the US Energy Information Administration.

We don't need to look far to see that Question 6 is a misguided policy. That's why Nevadans should vote NO to higher energy costs and more lost jobs! NO on Question 6!

For more information, visit NoQuestion6.com or email Eric@Dauntlesscommunications.com.